Direct observation of intermediates in a thermodynamically controlled solid-state dynamic covalent reaction

Ana Belenguer¹, Giulio I. Lampronti², David Wales¹, Tomislav Friščić³, Peter J. Bygrave⁴, Graeme M. Day⁴, Jeremy K.M. Sanders¹





of Earth Sciences, Downing St, Cambridge, CB2 3EQ, UK

² University of Cambridge, Department ³ McGill University, Department of Chemistry, 801 Sherbrooke Street West, H3A 8B0 Montréal, Canada



Dynamic covalent chemistry (DCC) is a powerful tool for generating new structures and studying systems chemistry driven by thermodynamics, generally in solution¹. We have recently been exploring solid-state DCC utilizing ball mill grinding technology² and have discovered that the outcome of a reaction is determined by the relative thermodynamic stabilities of the crystalline library members, yielding completely different outcomes to that obtained with the same DCC library equilibrated in solution³

KK



We present here the first polymorph interconversion study using solid-state DCC. This system exhibits unexpected and rich behavior, including the observation that under appropriate conditions the interconversion of two polymorphs proceeds through covalent chemistry: the starting material undergoes a disulfide exchange reaction to generate intermediates that undergo further reaction to yield a different polymorph of the original. Furthermore, this route is facilitated by one of the two intermediates. The chemical composition has been analysed by HPLC while the solid state composition has been obtained by the Rietveld refinement of PXRD data.



Solid-state DCC synthesis: stable and metastable polymorphs of 1-2 heterodimer

under Neat and LAG conditions

Neat grinding METASTABLE polymorph (5 Neat 1-1 + 2-2 Free Energy Minimum **STARTING STAGE** grinding **PXRD** the 50%M **Free Energy** Free Energy Minimum **REACTION PRODUCT** (1-2)A Diagram 50%M - Сі (1-2)A 97%M Munun STABLE polymorph 30 Position [°2Theta] NO₂ (1-2)A %M Milling **80** Jar and Milling Balls 60 40 2-2 20 1-1 2-2 5 10 15 20 25 30 35 40 45 50 MILLING TIME (minutes) no solvent added 24h



LAG grinding

Seeding with metastable polymorph: remarkable kinetic observations

Neat grinding







Conclusions

Solid-state DCC experiments are highly reproducible as analysed by HPLC and Rietveld refinement of PXRD patterns which are in excellent agreement.

The milling process produces nanosized crystals. At high surface/volume ratio the contribution of the surface solvated structure to the stability of a certain polymorph is significant. While at the microsize (1-2)B is the stable polymorph (slurry experiments), at the nanosize (milling) the experimental conditions dictates the selection of which polymorph is formed.

Under Neat grinding conditions (no added solvent) polymorph (1-2)A is always exclusively formed. • Under LAG conditions (50 μ l MeCN) polymorph (1-2)B is always exclusively formed.

On milling, the **metastable** polymorph is **always** transformed into the **stable** polymorph.

This **metastable** to **stable** polymorph transformation occurs through two simultaneous and competing routes: (a) straightforward polymorph interconversion; (b) sequential polymorph interconversion through reversible covalent chemistry intermediates (i.e. 1-1 and 2-2).

The experimental results can be explained **only** by a balance between kinetic and thermodynamic control.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the EPSRC (AMB, DJW and JKMS) and the Department of Earth Sciences of the University of Cambridge (GIL) for financial support. We thank Prof A. Gavezzotti and Dr L. Maini for fundamental discussions with them. We thank M. Arhangelskis for his assistance with refinement of PXRD data and Prof. Bill Jones, D.K. Bucar, B. Patel and J. Galcera for their contributions to discussion on solid state characterisation. We thank C. A. Bland for the mechanical and P. Donnelly for the software design of the automation of the grinders and R.C. Nightingale and his team at the mechanical workshop at the Department of Chemistry for the manufacture of jars.

References

1) Cougnon, F. B. L.; Sanders, J. K. M. Acc. Chem. Res. 2011, 45, 2211

2) James, S. L.; Adams, C. J.; Bolm, C.; Braga, D.; Collier, P.; Friscic, T.; Grepioni, F.; Harris, K. D. M.; Hyett, G.; Jones, W.; Krebs, A.; Mack, J.; Maini, L.; Orpen, A. G.; Parkin, I. P.; Shearouse, W. C.; Steed, J. W.; Waddell, D. C. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 413.

3) Belenguer, A. M.; Friscic, T.; Day, G. M.; Sanders, J. K. M. Chem. Sc. 2011, 2, 696;

contact: amb84@cam.ac.uk