The photodegradation of nifedipine and its cocrystals
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Abstract
A range of cocrystals of the photosensitive drug nifedipine were prepared and characterised. The rates of solid-state degradation were measured and a correlation was observed between the absorption properties of a cocrystal and its degradation rate. The use of AFM demonstrated the significant changes occurring at the surface during degradation as well as the formation of an amorphous layer before crystallisation of the degradant.

Background & cocrystal structures
Nifedipine (NIF) is a dihydropyridine drug often used for the treatment of hypertension and angina. When exposed to light (both in solution and in the solid-state) it degrades to two major products, a nitropyridine derivative (minor product) and a nitrosopyridine derivative (formed by loss of water, major product) (Fig. 1). In order to investigate the solid-state degradation of NIF, a range of cocrystals (Fig. 2) and physical mixtures\(^*\) have been prepared and irradiated for a range of time periods up to 24 hours. A High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) method was developed to quantify the extent of the degradation of NIF.

Degradation Results
Figure 3 highlights the behaviours of the different cocrystals upon irradiation when compared to NIF, whilst the extent of degradation for the physical mixtures appears to remain relatively consistent across all coformers. This shows that it is not just the presence of the coformer molecule that affects the degradation but it is the intimate relationship found in a cocrystal that is more important.

The curves shown in Figure 4 illustrate the degree of degradation for NIF and its cocrystals over a range of time periods up to 24 hours. There are clearly some cocrystals that degrade slower than NIF (NIF-isonicotinamide and 2:1 NIF pyrazine) as well as those that degrade at around the same rate or even quicker than NIF (NIF-imidazole).

Solid-state UV/vis spectroscopy
As the curves in figure 5a show, there is very little difference in the absorption properties of NIF when compared with its physical mixtures with coformers. In contrast, figure 5b indicates that there is a large difference in the absorption properties of the cocrystals. This is further evidence that it is not just the presence of the coformer molecule that is important but that by influencing the crystal packing of NIF, we can fine-tune its absorption properties.

AFM
As shown in figure 7, the surface of nifedipine itself consists of molecular steps (1-2 nm in size). When exposed to light, this surface changes drastically. Initially, an amorphous layer is formed across the surface before crystallisation of the degradant occurs. By plotting a Tauc plot\(^*\) a correlation is observed between the band gap of the material and its rate constant for degradation, the larger the band gap, the slower the degradation (band gap calculated by extrapolating straight line to x-axis).

Conclusions
The optical absorption properties of a photolabile drug molecule have been fine-tuned by crystal engineering methods. These properties have been linked to the rate constants for its degradation with those solid forms that have a larger band gap also having a slower rate of degradation. AFM has demonstrated that, upon irradiation, an amorphous layer is initially formed at the surface before crystallisation of the degradant occurs.
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* a physical mixture with pyrazine was unable to be prepared as spontaneous partial cocrystallisation occurred.

\(^*\) Tauc plot – a plot for NIF and its cocrystals. The extrapolation of the straight line to the x-axis corresponds to the band gap. The rate constant for degradation plotted against the band gap for NIF and its cocrystals indicating that the larger the band gap, the slower the rate of degradation.

\(^*\) In contrast, figure 5b indicates that there is a large difference in the absorption properties of the cocrystals, demonstrating the large differences between cocrystals.

\(^*\) Band gap of the material and its rate constant for degradation, the larger the band gap, the slower the rate of degradation.